

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

AMY PRESLEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

TRILLIUM THERAPEUTICS INC.,
LUKE BESHAR, SCOTT MYERS,
HELEN TAYTON-MARTIN, MICHAEL
KAMARCK, PAOLO PUCCI, PAUL
WALKER, CATHERINE MACKEY,
AND JAN SKVARKA,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.

**COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS
OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES
LAWS**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Amy Presley (“Plaintiff”) by and through her undersigned attorneys, brings this action on behalf of herself, and alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to those allegations concerning Plaintiff and, as to all other matters, upon the investigation of counsel, which includes, without limitation: (a) review and analysis of public filings made by Trillium Therapeutics Inc. (“Trillium” or the “Company”) and other related parties and non-parties with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”); (b) review and analysis of press releases and other publications disseminated by certain of the Defendants (defined below) and other related non-parties; (c) review of news articles, shareholder communications, and postings on the Company’s website concerning the Company’s public statements; and (d) review of other publicly available information concerning Trillium and the Defendants.

SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff against Trillium and the Company's Board of Directors (the "Board" or the "Individual Defendants") for their violations of Section 14(a) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78n(a), 78t(a), and SEC Rule 14a-9, 17 C.F.R. 240.14a-9, in connection with the proposed transaction (the "Proposed Transaction") between the Company and Pfizer Inc. ("Pfizer").

2. On August 20, 2021, the Company entered into an Arrangement Agreement (the "Arrangement Agreement") with Pfizer and PF Argentum Acquisition ULC ("PF Argentum"), a wholly-owned, indirect subsidiary of Pfizer. Pursuant to the terms of the Arrangement Agreement, PF Argentum will acquire all outstanding shares not already owned by Pfizer. As a consequence of the merger, the Company's shareholders will receive \$18.50 for each share they own (the "Merger Consideration").

3. On September 27, 2021, in order to convince the Company's shareholders to vote in favor of the Proposed Transaction, the Board authorized the filing of a materially incomplete and misleading proxy statement with the SEC (the "Proxy Statement"), in violation of Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act.

4. For these reasons, and as set forth in detail herein, Plaintiff asserts claims against Trillium and the Board for violations of Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 14a-9. Plaintiff seeks to enjoin Defendants from taking any steps to consummate the Proposed Transaction unless and until the material information discussed below is disclosed to Trillium shareholders before the vote on the Proposed Transaction or, in the event the Proposed Transaction is consummated, recover damages resulting from the Defendants' violations of the Exchange Act.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over all claims asserted herein pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa, and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as Plaintiff alleges violations of Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act.

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over all of the Defendants because each is either a corporation that conducts business in, solicits shareholders in, and/or maintains operations within, this District, or is an individual who is either present in this District for jurisdictional purposes or has sufficient minimum contacts with this District so as to make the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

7. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial portion of the transactions and wrongs complained of herein occurred in this District.

THE PARTIES

8. Plaintiff is, and has been at all times relevant hereto, the owner of Trillium shares.

9. Defendant Trillium is incorporated under the laws of British Columbia, Canada and has its principal executive offices located at 100 CambridgePark Drive, Suite 510 Cambridge, Massachusetts 02140. The Company's common stock trades on the NASDAQ Stock Exchange under the symbol "TRIL."

10. Defendant Luke Beshar ("Beshar") is and has been a Trillium director at all times during the relevant time period.

11. Defendant Scott Myers ("Myers") is and has been a Trillium director at all times during the relevant time period.

12. Defendant Helen Tayton-Martin ("Tayton Martin") is and has been a Trillium director at all times during the relevant time period.

13. Defendant Michael Kamarck (“Kamarck”) is and has been a Trillium director at all times during the relevant time period.

14. Defendant Paolo Pucci (“Pucci”) is and has been a Trillium director at all times during the relevant time period.

15. Defendant Paul Walker (“Walker”) is and has been a Trillium director at all times during the relevant time period.

16. Defendant Catherine Mackey (“Cohen”) is and has been a Trillium director at all times during the relevant time period.

17. Defendant Jan Skvarka (“Skvarka”) is and has been the President, Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and a director of Trillium at all times during the relevant period.

18. Defendants Beshar, Myers, Tayton-Martin, Kamarck, Pucci, Walker, Mackey, and Skvarka are collectively referred to herein as the “Individual Defendants.”

19. The Individual Defendants, along with Defendant Trillium, are collectively referred to herein as “Defendants.”

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

Background of the Company

20. Trillium a clinical-stage immuno-oncology company, develops therapies for the treatment of cancer. The company’s lead program is TTI-621, a SIRPaFc fusion protein that acts as a soluble decoy receptor preventing CD47 from delivering its inhibitory signal, which is in Phase Ib clinical trials for advanced hematologic malignancies, and solid tumors and mycosis fungoides. Its product candidates also include TTI-622, an IgG4 SIRPaFc protein for combination therapy; and TTI-2341, an epidermal growth factor receptor antagonist, which is in preclinical development stage, as well as undisclosed immuno-oncology targets that are in the

discovery Phase. The company was formerly known as Stem Cell Therapeutics Corp. and changed its name to Trillium Therapeutics Inc. in June 2014.

The Company Announces the Proposed Transaction

21. On August 23, 2021, the Company jointly issued a press release announcing the Proposed Transaction. The press release stated in part:

NEW YORK and CAMBRIDGE, Mass., Aug. 23, 2021 (GLOBE NEWSWIRE) -
 - Pfizer Inc. (NYSE: PFE) and Trillium Therapeutics Inc. (NASDAQ/TSX: TRIL)
 today announced that the companies have entered into a definitive agreement
 under which Pfizer will acquire Trillium, a clinical stage immuno-oncology
 company developing innovative therapies for the treatment of cancer. Under the
 terms of the agreement, Pfizer will acquire all outstanding shares of Trillium not
 already owned by Pfizer for an implied equity value of \$2.26 billion, or \$18.50
 per share, in cash. This represents a 118% premium to the 60-day weighted
 average price for Trillium.

Trillium's portfolio includes biologics that are designed to enhance the ability of
 patients' innate immune system to detect and destroy cancer cells. Its two lead
 molecules, TTI-622 and TTI-621, block the signal-regulatory protein α (SIRP α)–
 CD47 axis, which is emerging as a key immune checkpoint in hematological
 malignancies. TTI-622 and TTI-621 are novel, potentially best-in-class SIRP α -Fc
 fusion proteins that are currently in Phase 1b/2 development across several
 indications, with a focus on hematological malignancies.

"Today's announcement reinforces our commitment to pursue scientific
 breakthroughs with the addition of potentially best-in-class molecules to our
 innovative pipeline," said Andy Schmeltz, Global President & General Manager,
 Pfizer Oncology. "The proposed acquisition of Trillium builds on our strong track
 record of leadership in Oncology, enhancing our hematology portfolio as we
 strive to improve outcomes for people living with blood cancers around the globe.
 Our deep experience in understanding the science of blood cancers, along with the
 diverse knowledge base we have developed across our growing hematology
 portfolio of eight approved and investigational therapies, provide us with a
 foundation to advance these important potential medicines to patients who need
 them."

Hematological malignancies are cancers that affect the blood, bone marrow, and
 lymph nodes. This classification includes various types of leukemia, multiple
 myeloma, and lymphoma. More than 1 million people worldwide were diagnosed
 with a blood cancer in 2020, representing almost 6% of all cancer diagnoses
 globally. In 2020, more than 700,000 people worldwide died from a form of blood

cancer.

“We’re delighted to announce Pfizer’s proposed acquisition of Trillium. Today’s announcement reflects Trillium’s potentially best in class SIRP α –CD47 status and contribution to immuno-oncology,” said Dr. Jan Skvarka, Chief Executive Officer of Trillium. “Trillium has the only known SIRP α –CD47 targeting molecules with clinically meaningful monotherapy responses as well as a strong basis for combination therapies, which is supported by preclinical evidence with a diverse set of therapeutic agents. With Pfizer’s global reach and deep capabilities, we believe our programs will advance more quickly to the patients we’ve always aspired to serve. We believe this is a good outcome for patients and our shareholders.”

In clinical studies to-date, TTI-622 and TTI-621 have demonstrated activity as monotherapy in relapsed or refractory lymphoid malignancies, including Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma (DLBCL), Peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL), Follicular Lymphoma (FL), and other lymphoid malignancies. As of July 26, 2021, Phase 1 data for TTI-622 in 30 response-evaluable patients have shown deep and durable responses in heavily pretreated patients, including two complete responses (CRs), one lasting over 114 weeks, with responses ongoing. TTI-622 and TTI-621 are currently the only known CD47-targeted molecules that have demonstrated meaningful single agent activity and CRs in multiple hematological malignancies. Thus far, adverse events (AEs) reported with TTI-622 and TTI-621 have been manageable. Related Grade 3 and 4 AEs with TTI-622 were rare and limited to transient cytopenias. In particular, the molecules demonstrate minimal red blood cell binding and few reported cases of anemia, an observed risk with other CD47-targeted approaches. Further data are expected to be shared at a forthcoming medical conference.

“We are encouraged by the early clinical data for TTI-622 and TTI-621 monotherapy for patients with heavily pretreated lymphoid malignancies and early encouraging activity for TTI-622 in patients with multiple myeloma. Just as PD-1 and PD-L1 blockers have proven to be effective immuno-therapeutics for many solid tumors, the SIRP α -CD47 interaction defines a second key immune checkpoint for which disrupting agents are expected to become another important backbone immunotherapy for multiple types of cancer, especially hematological cancers,” said Chris Boshoff, MD, PhD, Chief Development Officer, Oncology, Pfizer Global Product Development. “Utilizing Pfizer’s leading research and global development capabilities, we plan to accelerate the clinical development of SIRP α fusion proteins as a potential new scientific breakthrough and explore combinations within our own portfolio and with innovative next-generation medicines for hematological malignancies.”

In September 2020, as part of the Pfizer Breakthrough Growth Initiative (PBGI), Pfizer invested \$25 million in Trillium and Jeff Settleman, Senior Vice President and Chief Scientific Officer of Pfizer’s Oncology Research & Development

Group, was named to Trillium's Scientific Advisory Board. Established in June 2020, PBGI's goal is to provide funding for scientific research as well as access to Pfizer's experts to ensure the continuity of clinical programs that could be of potential strategic interest for Pfizer. Pfizer has committed to providing up to \$500 million in total funding to the PBGI.

Additional Transaction Details

The proposed acquisition of Trillium is to be completed by way of a statutory plan of arrangement under the *Business Corporations Act* (British Columbia) and subject to customary closing conditions, including approval of 66 $\frac{2}{3}$ % of the votes cast by Trillium shareholders, voting together as one class, at a special meeting of Trillium and approval of 66 $\frac{2}{3}$ % of the votes cast by Trillium shareholders and warrant holders, voting together as one class, at a special meeting of Trillium. Completion of the acquisition is also subject to court and regulatory approval, as well as certain other closing conditions customary for transactions of this nature.

Pfizer's financial advisors for the transaction are BofA Securities, Inc., with Ropes & Gray LLP and Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP acting as its legal advisors. Centerview Partners LLC served as Trillium's financial advisor, while Goodwin Procter LLP and Baker McKenzie LLP (Canada) served as its legal advisors.

FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS

AND/OR MATERIAL OMISSIONS IN THE PROXY STATEMENT

22. On September 27, 2021, the Company authorized the filing of the Proxy Statement with the SEC. The Proxy Statement recommends that the Company's shareholders vote in favor of the Proposed Transaction.

23. Defendants were obligated to carefully review the Proxy Statement prior to its filing with the SEC and dissemination to the Company's shareholders to ensure that it did not contain any material misrepresentations or omissions. However, the Proxy Statement misrepresents and/or omits material information that is necessary for the Company's shareholders to make informed decisions regarding whether to vote in favor of the Proposed Transaction, in violation of Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act.

Material False and Misleading Statements or Material Misrepresentations or Omissions Regarding the Financial Projection

24. The Proxy Statement contains projections prepared by the Company's management concerning the Proposed Transaction, but fails to provide material information concerning such.

25. The SEC has repeatedly emphasized that disclosure of non-GAAP projections can be inherently misleading, and has therefore heightened its scrutiny of the use of such projections.¹ Indeed, on May 17, 2016, the SEC's Division of Corporation Finance released new and updated Compliance and Disclosure Interpretations ("C&DIs") on the use of non-GAAP financial measures that demonstrate the SEC's tightening policy.² One of the new C&DIs regarding forward-looking information, such as financial projections, explicitly requires companies to provide any reconciling metrics that are available without unreasonable efforts.

26. In order to make management's projections included in the Proxy Statement materially complete and not misleading, Defendants must provide a reconciliation table of the non-GAAP measures to the most comparable GAAP measures.

27. Specifically, with respect to the Company's projections, the Company must disclose the line item projections for the financial metrics that were used to calculate the non-GAAP measure, including: (i) Gross Profit; (ii) Total R&D Expense; (iii) Total SG&A Expense; and (iv) Unlevered Free Cash Flow.

¹ See, e.g., Nicolas Grabar and Sandra Flow, Non-GAAP Financial Measures: The SEC's Evolving Views, Harvard Law School Forum on Corporate Governance and Financial Regulation (June 24, 2016), *available at* <https://corpgov.law.harvard.edu/2016/06/24/non-gaap-financial-measurestheseecs-evolving-views/>; Gretchen Morgenson, Fantasy Math Is Helping Companies Spin Losses Into Profits, N.Y. Times, Apr. 22, 2016, *available at* http://www.nytimes.com/2016/04/24/business/fantasy-math-is-helping-companies-spin-losses-into-profits.html?_r=0.

² Non-GAAP Financial Measures, Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations, U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (May 17, 2017), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/divisions/corpfin/guidance/nongaapinterp.htm>.

28. Disclosure of the above information is vital to provide investors with the complete mix of information necessary to make an informed decision when voting on the Proposed Transaction. Specifically, the above information would provide shareholders with a better understanding of the analyses performed by the Company's financial advisor in support of its opinion.

**Material False and Misleading Statements or Material
Misrepresentations or Omissions Regarding Centerview's Financial Opinion**

29. The Proxy Statement contains the financial analysis and opinion of Centerview Partners LLC ("Centerview") concerning the Proposed Transaction, but fails to provide material information concerning such.

30. With respect to Centerview's *Selected Public Company Analysis*, the Proxy Statement fails to disclose: (i) the individual multiples and metrics for each of the companies observed in the analysis; and (ii) the number of fully-diluted outstanding shares of Company common stock.

31. With respect to Centerview's *Selected Precedent Transaction Analysis*, the Proxy Statement fails to disclose the individual multiples and metrics for each transactions observed in the analysis.

32. With respect to Centerview's *Discounted Cash Flow Analysis*, the Proxy Statement fails to disclose: (i) the inputs and assumptions underlying Centerview's use of discount rates ranging from 11.0% to 13.0%; (ii) the estimated future losses for Trillium; (iii) the implied terminal value of Trillium, calculated by Centerview assuming that unlevered free cash flows would decline in perpetuity after December 31, 2042 at a free cash flow decline of 40% year-on-year after 2042; and (iv) the number of fully-diluted outstanding shares of Company common stock as of August 18, 2021.

33. With respect to Centerview’s *Analyst Price Target Analysis*, the Proxy Statement fails to disclose: (i) the price targets observed by Centerview in the analysis; and (ii) the sources thereof.

34. With respect to Centerview’s *Premiums Paid Analysis*, the Proxy Statement fails to disclose: (i) each transaction observed by Centerview in the analysis; (ii) the premiums paid in the transactions.

35. When a banker’s endorsement of the fairness of a transaction is touted to shareholders, the valuation methods used to arrive at that opinion as well as the key inputs and range of ultimate values generated by those analyses must also be fairly disclosed. Moreover, the disclosure of projected financial information is material because it provides shareholders with a basis to project the future financial performance of a company and allows shareholders to better understand the financial analyses performed by the Company’s financial advisor in support of its fairness opinion.

36. Without the above described information, the Company’s shareholders are unable to cast a fully informed vote on the Proposed Transactions. Accordingly, in order to provide shareholders with a complete mix of information, the omitted information described above should be disclosed.

COUNT I

(Against All Defendants for Violations of Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 14a-9 Promulgated Thereunder)

37. Plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

38. Section 14(a)(1) of the Exchange Act makes it “unlawful for any person, by the use of the mails or by any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce or of any facility of a

national securities exchange or otherwise, in contravention of such rules and regulations as the Commission may prescribe as necessary or appropriate in the public interest or for the protection of investors, to solicit or to permit the use of his name to solicit any proxy or consent or authorization in respect of any security (other than an exempted security) registered pursuant to section 78l of this title.” 15 U.S.C. § 78n(a)(1).

39. Rule 14a-9, promulgated by the SEC pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act, provides that communications with stockholders in a recommendation statement shall not contain “any statement which, at the time and in the light of the circumstances under which it is made, is false or misleading with respect to any material fact, or which omits to state any material fact necessary in order to make the statements therein not false or misleading.” 17 C.F.R. § 240.14a-9.

40. Defendants have issued the Proxy Statement with the intention of soliciting shareholders support for the Proposed Transaction. Each of the Defendants reviewed and authorized the dissemination of the Proxy Statement, which fails to provide critical information regarding, among other things, the financial projections for the Company.

41. In so doing, Defendants made untrue statements of fact and/or omitted material facts necessary to make the statements made not misleading. Each of the Defendants, by virtue of their roles as officers and/or directors, were aware of the omitted information but failed to disclose such information, in violation of Section 14(a). The Defendants were therefore negligent, as they had reasonable grounds to believe material facts existed that were misstated or omitted from the Proxy Statement, but nonetheless failed to obtain and disclose such information to shareholders although they could have done so without extraordinary effort.

42. The Defendants knew or were negligent in not knowing that the Proxy Statement

is materially misleading and omits material facts that are necessary to render it not misleading. The Defendants undoubtedly reviewed and relied upon the omitted information identified above in connection with their decision to approve and recommend the Proposed Transaction.

43. The Defendants knew or were negligent in not knowing that the material information identified above has been omitted from the Proxy Statement, rendering the sections of the Proxy Statement identified above to be materially incomplete and misleading. Indeed, the Defendants were required to be particularly attentive to the procedures followed in preparing the Proxy Statement and review it carefully before it was disseminated, to corroborate that there are no material misstatements or omissions.

44. The Defendants were, at the very least, negligent in preparing and reviewing the Proxy Statement. The preparation of a Proxy Statement by corporate insiders containing materially false or misleading statements or omitting a material fact constitutes negligence. The Defendants were negligent in choosing to omit material information from the Proxy Statement or failing to notice the material omissions in the Proxy Statement upon reviewing it, which they were required to do carefully as the Company's directors. Indeed, the Defendants were intricately involved in the process leading up to the signing of the Arrangement Agreement and the preparation of the Company's financial projections.

45. The misrepresentations and omissions in the Proxy Statement are material to Plaintiff, who will be deprived of his right to cast an informed vote if such misrepresentations and omissions are not corrected prior to the vote on the Proposed Transaction.

46. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Only through the exercise of this Court's equitable powers can Plaintiff be fully protected from the immediate and irreparable injury that Defendants' actions threaten to inflict.

COUNT II

(Against the Individual Defendants for Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act)

47. Plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

48. The Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of Trillium within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. By virtue of their positions as officers and/or directors of Trillium, and participation in and/or awareness of the Company's operations and/or intimate knowledge of the incomplete and misleading statements contained in the Proxy Statement filed with the SEC, they had the power to influence and control and did influence and control, directly or indirectly, the decision making of the Company, including the content and dissemination of the various statements that Plaintiff contends are materially incomplete and misleading.

49. Each of the Individual Defendants was provided with, or had unlimited access to, copies of the Proxy Statement and other statements alleged by Plaintiff to be misleading prior to and/or shortly after these statements were issued and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the statements or cause the statements to be corrected.

50. In particular, each of the Individual Defendants had direct and supervisory involvement in the day-to-day operations of the Company, and, therefore, is presumed to have had the power to control or influence the particular transactions giving rise to the Exchange Act violations alleged herein, and exercised the same. The Proxy Statement at issue contains the unanimous recommendation of each of the Individual Defendants to approve the Proposed Transaction. They were thus directly involved in preparing this document.

51. In addition, as set forth in the Proxy Statement at length and described herein, the

Individual Defendants were involved in negotiating, reviewing, and approving the Arrangement Agreement. The Proxy Statement purports to describe the various issues and information that the Individual Defendants reviewed and considered. The Individual Defendants participated in drafting and/or gave their input on the content of those descriptions.

52. By virtue of the foregoing, the Individual Defendants have violated Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act.

53. As set forth above, the Individual Defendants had the ability to exercise control over and did control a person or persons who have each violated Section 14(a) and Rule 14a-9 by their acts and omissions as alleged herein. By virtue of their positions as controlling persons, these Defendants are liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. As a direct and proximate result of Individual Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff will be irreparably harmed.

54. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Only through the exercise of this Court's equitable powers can Plaintiff be fully protected from the immediate and irreparable injury that Defendants' actions threaten to inflict.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment and relief as follows:

- A. Preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants and all persons acting in concert with them from proceeding with, consummating, or closing the Proposed Transaction;
- B. Directing the Individual Defendants to disseminate an Amendment to the Proxy Statement that does not contain any untrue statements of material fact and that states all material facts required in it or necessary to make the statements contained therein not misleading;
- C. Directing Defendants to account to Plaintiff for all damages sustained because of the wrongs complained of herein;

D. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action, including reasonable allowance for Plaintiff's attorneys' and experts' fees; and

E. Granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: October 5, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joshua M. Lifshitz

Joshua M. Lifshitz

Email: jml@jlclasslaw.com

LIFSHITZ LAW FIRM, P.C.

1190 Broadway,

Hewlett, New York 11557

Telephone: (516) 493-9780

Facsimile: (516) 280-7376

Attorneys for Plaintiff